N.D. OF ALABAMA

----Original Message---From: Matthew Moneyham [mailto:mm@glendacochran.com]
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 4:37 PM
To: Stacey Strain; Glenda Cochran; 'brian.malcom@wallerlaw.com';
'larry.childs@wallerlaw.com'; 'mila.gudkov@freshfields.com';
'timothy.harkness@freshfields.com'
Cc: Jo Smith; Jeff Hubbard; Brittney Dyess; Katie Harper
Subject: RE: Mims vs. Wal-Mart and Kinderhook

### Stacey:

I just received your email below. Upon receipt of your previous email indicating that you would oppose the motion, I asked my secretary to file it, and it has already been filed.

In any event, we are certainly willing to work with you regarding the extension of deadlines and proposing alternatives to the Court during a Rule 16 conference.

Thanks,

MATTHEW R. MONEYHAM
COCHRAN & ASSOCIATES
310 21st Street North, Suite 500
Birmingham, AL 35203
T: 205.328.5050
F: 205.320.1659

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended to be a privileged and confidential communication and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized dissemination, distribution, or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this communication in error, please notify the sender immediatly by telephone at (205) 328-5050 or by reply to this e-mail.

----Original Message---From: Stacey Strain [mailto:strain@hubbardmitchell.com]
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 4:17 PM
To: Stacey Strain; Matthew Moneyham; Glenda Cochran; 'brian.malcom@wallerlaw.com'; 'larry.childs@wallerlaw.com'; 'mila.gudkov@freshfields.com'; 'timothy.harkness@freshfields.com'
Cc: Jo Smith; Jeff Hubbard; Brittney Dyess; Katie Harper
Subject: RE: Mims vs. Wal-Mart and Kinderhook

Matt- With further reading of your proposed motion and I as I told you on the phone, we are willing to work with you to get the relief you need- extension of deadlines. But we are unable to agree to the motion as written. Is there some middle ground that we can come to? Can you hold off on filing the motion and perhaps work with us and Kinderhook in drafting and filing a Joint Motion?

## Case 1:12-cv-00244-VEH Document 50-1 Filed 11/26/12 Page 2 of 4

Stacey L. Strain

HUBBARD, MITCHELL, WILLIAMS & STRAIN, PLLC Post Office Box 13309 Jackson, MS 39236

1062 Highland Colony Parkway, Suite 222

Ridgeland, MS 39157 Direct: (601) 707-3533 Main: (601) 707-3440 Facsimile: (601) 898-2726 strain@hubbardmitchell.com

### Confidentiality Statement

The information contained in this electronic message from the law firm of Hubbard, Mitchell, Williams & Strain, PLLC is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone at (601) 707-3440.

----Original Message----

From: Stacey Strain

Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 4:03 PM

To: 'Matthew Moneyham'; Glenda Cochran; 'brian.malcom@wallerlaw.com';

'larry.childs@wallerlaw.com'; 'mila.gudkov@freshfields.com';

timothy.harkness@freshfields.com

Cc: Jo Smith; Jeff Hubbard; Brittney Dyess; Katie Harper

Subject: RE: Mims vs. Wal-Mart and Kinderhook

Matt- It was nice talking with you this afternoon and thank you for forwarding me a copy of your proposed motion to amend deadlines. As we discussed, I am agreeable to extending deadlines and a scheduling conference. However, a quick review of the 24 page motion (I can only skim over the lengthy motion before your 4:30 self-imposed deadline to file) reveals statements concerning Wal-Mart's document production that we believe are self-serving to Plaintiffs and do not accurately reflect the efforts of Wal-Mart. We want the opportunity for the Court to know our position concerning our efforts regarding the document production. As such, I cannot say that we will not oppose the motion.— Stacey

----Original Message----

From: Matthew Moneyham [mailto:mm@glendacochran.com]

Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 3:15 PM

To: Stacey Strain; Glenda Cochran; 'brian.malcom@wallerlaw.com';

'larry.childs@wallerlaw.com'; 'mila.gudkov@freshfields.com';

timothy.harkness@freshfields.com

Cc: Jo Smith; Jeff Hubbard; Brittney Dyess; Katie Harper

Subject: RE: Mims vs. Wal-Mart and Kinderhook

#### Stacey:

I write with respect to your email below. As you have previously suggested, we are in the process or addressing formatting issues regarding Wal-Mart's production through a third party ESI consultant. Because these issues have not been resolved, we cannot estimate how much additional time will be needed for Plaintiffs expert disclosures at this time, as we are still unable to utilize Wal-Mart's production.

For this reason, and due to the fact that other deadlines in the case may have to be moved as well, we are requesting a scheduling conference pursuant to Rule 16. Thus, any concerns or arguments you may have regarding moving other deadlines in the case can be raised and addressed at that time.

As I understand our position, you are not necessarily opposed to extending deadlines in the case. I hope that this explanation, and the fact that the Rule 16 conference should enable the parties to address other deadlines in the case, is sufficient. Please let us know as soon as possible whether or not you will oppose our motion, as we intend to file it with the court today.

## Case 1:12-cv-00244-VEH Document 50-1 Filed 11/26/12 Page 3 of 4

If you have any questions, please feel free to give me a call.

Thanks,

MATTHEW R. MONEYHAM
COCHRAN & ASSOCIATES
310 21st Street North, Suite 500
Birmingham, AL 35203
T: 205.328.5050
F: 205.320.1659

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended to be a privileged and confidential communication and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized dissemination, distribution, or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this communication in error, please notify the sender immediatly by telephone at (205) 328-5050 or by reply to this e-mail.

----Original Message----

From: Stacey Strain [mailto:strain@hubbardmitchell.com]

Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 11:10 AM

To: Glenda Cochran; 'brian.malcom@wallerlaw.com'; 'larry.childs@wallerlaw.com';

'mila.qudkov@freshfields.com'; timothy.harkness@freshfields.com

Cc: Matthew Moneyham; Jo Smith; Jeff Hubbard; Brittney Dyess; Katie Harper

Subject: Mims vs. Wal-Mart and Kinderhook

Glenda- We would be agreeable to extending deadlines; however, I would need to have more specifics about what you are proposing. If the deadline for Plaintiffs' expert reports is extended then we would also need to have the deadline for defense expert reports extended. We will need, at a dead minimum, 45 days from your deadline for our expert reports. Without knowing how much additional time you need for experts, it is hard to look at other deadlines to see if those will be affected. Could you be more specific about the amount of time that you are needing?

Additionally, I need to go ahead and get some dates on the calendar in either January or February for the depositions of the Mims and their children. I know that in our recent correspondence you indicated that you thought I owe a 30(b)(6) deposition prior to giving me dates for the Plaintiffs. Attached is an email from June discussing the 30(b)(6). I need for you to amend the notice to a more narrowed and workable notice. Once I receive the amended notice then I will be in a position to review and determine the number of designees that we will need to address the topics of inquiry. After that, I will be able to get dates of availability for you to conduct the 30(b)(6) in Bentonville, Arkansas.

I have included counsel for Kinderhook on this email since we will also need their input for proposed extensions and proposed dates for depositions.

Stacey L. Strain

HUBBARD, MITCHELL, WILLIAMS & STRAIN, PLLC Post Office Box 13309 Jackson, MS 39236 1062 Highland Colony Parkway, Suite 222

Ridgeland, MS 39157

Direct: (601) 707-3533
Main: (601) 707-3440
Facsimile: (601) 898-2726
strain@hubbardmitchell.com

#### Confidentiality Statement

The information contained in this electronic message from the law firm of Hubbard, Mitchell, Williams & Strain, PLLC is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone at (601) 707-3440.

# Case 1:12-cv-00244-VEH Document 50-1 Filed 11/26/12 Page 4 of 4

```
----Original Message----
From: Glenda Cochran [mailto:gc@glendacochran.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 08, 2012 3:57 PM
To: Stacey Strain
Cc: Matthew Moneyham; Jo Smith
Subject: Re: Walmart
We are interested in moving the deadline for plaintiff's expert disclosures, and are also
requesting a Rule 16 scheduling conference regarding how this will effect the other
deadlines. Kinderhook does not oppose our motion.
Sent from my iPad
On Nov 8, 2012, at 3:51 PM, "Stacey Strain" <strain@hubbardmitchell.com> wrote:
> Glenda- I have been tied up in meetings all day and just now getting a break to check
emails. Which particular deadlines are you currently concerned with? I would not oppose
extending deadlines provided that all deadlines be extended accordingly. What is the
position of Kinderhook on extending deadlines? I look forward to hearing back from you.
If I get a chance later this afternoon, I will call you. If not, I will call tomorrow.
> Stacey L. Strain
> HUBBARD, MITCHELL, WILLIAMS & STRAIN, PLLC Post Office Box 13309
> Jackson, MS 39236
> 1062 Highland Colony Parkway, Suite 222 Ridgeland, MS 39157
> Direct: (601) 707-3533
> Main: (601) 707-3440
> Facsimile: (601) 898-2726
> strain@hubbardmitchell.com
> Confidentiality Statement
> The information contained in this electronic message from the law firm of Hubbard,
Mitchell, Williams & Strain, PLLC is confidential or privileged. The information is
intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the
intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the
contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in
error, please notify us immediately by telephone at (601) 707-3440.
> ----Original Message----
> From: Glenda Cochran [mailto:gc@glendacochran.com]
> Sent: Thursday, November 08, 2012 11:59 AM
> To: Stacey Strain
> Cc: Jo Smith; Matthew Moneyham
> Subject: Walmart
> Stacey, please call me as soon as possible. Due to the fact that we are still unable to
access Wal-Mart's production, I intend to file a motion for extension of deadlines and
need to know if you will oppose the motion. Thank you. I look forward to hearing from
you.
```

4

> Sent from my iPad